IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| KK | ONENBU | JRG, e | et al | | | * | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----|---|--|
| I | Plaintiffs | | | | | * Cas | e No.: | 1:01-cv | -04119- | CCB | | |
| v. | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| OW | ENS-CC | RNIN | G, et al | | | * | | | | | | |
| Defendants | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| ORDER WITHDRAWING THE APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Whiteford, Taylor & Preston, L.L.P. (Whiteford, Taylor & Preston), and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| its respective attorneys, and Louis G. Close, Jr., have recited adequate reasons to strike | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| their appearance, and complied with Local Rule 101 in moving to strike their appearance; | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and | , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Whiteford, Taylor & Preston and Louis G. Close, Jr., has given adequate | | | | | | | | | | | |
| notice of its motion, including to the defendant ACandS, Inc. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered this day of, 2006, that the | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| motion is granted and the appearances of B. Ford Davis, Gardner M. Duvall, Whiteford, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tay | lor & Pre | eston L | L.P. any | y Louis | G. Clos | e, Jr., are h | ereby sti | ricken. | | | | |
| | | | | | | $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ | istrict Ju | ıdge | | | | |